Accepted Manuscript

Oocyte maturation and origin of the germline as revealed by the expression of Nanos-like in the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas

Rui Xu, Qi Li, Hong Yu, Lingfeng Kong

PII: S0378-1119(18)30389-5
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.gene.2018.04.021
Reference: GENE 42745

To appear in: *Gene*

Received date: 3 January 2018
Revised date: 7 March 2018
Accepted date: 9 April 2018

Please cite this article as: Rui Xu, Qi Li, Hong Yu, Lingfeng Kong , Oocyte maturation and origin of the germline as revealed by the expression of Nanos-like in the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Gene(2017), doi:10.1016/j.gene.2018.04.021

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Oocyte maturation and origin of the germline as revealed by the expression of *Nanos*-like in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*

Rui Xu[1], Qi Li[1,2,*], Hong Yu[1*], Lingfeng Kong[1]

[1] *Key Laboratory of Mariculture, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China*

[2] *Laboratory for Marine Fisheries Science and Food Production Processes, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology*

* Corresponding author: qili66@ouc.edu.cn

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ABSTRACT

Nanos gene plays an important role in germline development in animals. However, the molecular mechanisms involved in germline development in Mollusca, the second largest animal phylum, are still poorly understood. Here we identified the *Nanos* orthologue from the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (*Cg-Nanos*-like), and investigated the expression patterns of *Nanos* during gametogenesis and embryogenesis in *C. gigas.* Tissue expression analysis showed that *Cg-Nanos*-like was specifically expressed in female gonads. During the reproductive cycle, the expression of *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA increased matching the seasonal development of the ovarian tissues in diploids, while the expression levels were significantly lower in the ovaries of sterile triploids compared to diploids. High expression of *Cg-Nanos*-like transcripts were detected in early embryonic stages, while the expression significantly dropped at gastrulation and was barely detectable in veliger stages. *In situ hybridization* showed that *Cg-Nanos*-like was expressed at different stages of developing oocytes, whereas positive signals were detected only in spermatogonia during the spermatogenic cycle. These findings indicated that *Cg-Nanos*-like was involved in the development of germ cells, and maintenance of oocyte maturation. In early embryogenesis, the transcripts were broadly expressed; following gastrulation, the expression was restricted to two cell clumps, which might be the putative primordial germ cells (PGCs) or their precursors. Based on the results, the formation of the PGCs in *C. gigas* was consistent with the model of transition from epigenesis to preformation.

Keywords: *Nanos*; germline; ovary; primordial germ cells; *Crassostrea gigas*

Abbreviations: PGCs: primordial germ cells; PBS: phosphate-buffered saline; PFA: paraformaldehyde; EF1-α: elongation factor 1-α; RS18: ribosomal protein S18; PBST: phosphate-buffered saline plus 0.1% Tween 20.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

1. Introduction

In all sexually reproducing organisms, gametes play an essential role in the reproductive process, since they transmit genetic materials from one generation to the next. Most animals generate their gametes from cells capable of producing all the differentiated cells, giving raised to an entire organism; these cells are called germ cells (Wylie, 1999). Germ cells derive from primordial germ cells (PGCs) that segregated from somatic cells at the beginning of embryogenesis (Extavour and Akam, 2003). In many animals, PGCs are specified in the embryonic development by maternally inherited cytoplasmic determinants, called germ plasm (Wylie, 1999). In *Drosophila*, several maternal components involved in PGCs formation of germ plasm have been identified (Hay et al., 1988; Cox et al., 1998; Lehmann and Nusslein-Volhard, 1991). As one of the components of germ plasm, *Nanos* gene is required for the formation of PGCs (Forbes and Lehmann, 1998; Kobayashi et al., 1996). It has been demonstrated that *Nanos* mutant PGCs failed to migrate into the gonad, and most of them were eliminated by apoptosis (Asaoka et al., 1998; Deshpande et al., 1999; Forbes and Lehmann, 1998; Kobayashi et al., 1996; Gavis et al., 2008). Subsequently, *Nanos* homologues have been identified in a large amount of animal species, such as nematode worms (Subramaniam and Seydoux, 1999), frogs (Kloc et al., 2000; Lai et al., 2011), zebrafish (Köprunner et al., 2001; Draper et al., 2007), sea urchins (Juliano et al., 2010; Fresques et al., 2016), and leeches (Agee et al., 2006; Pilon and Weisblat; 1997). In each case examined, maternally synthesized *Nanos* mRNA plays an essential role in migration and survival of PGCs. More importantly, *Nanos* is also known to play a conserved role in gametogenesis.

It has been reported that *Nanos* gene is expressed zygotically in developing gonad of diverse organisms examined thus far, ranging from sponges to mammals, and is required for germ cells development and maintenance (Leininger et al., 2014; Gilboa and Lehpamann, 2004; Wang and Lin, 2004; Draper et al., 2007; Julaton and Pera, 2011). In *Drosophila*, loss of *Nanos* function result in egg-laying defect in females, and male sterility (Bhat, 2004). Characterization of *nos*-1 knockout *Danio rerio* showed decreased numbers of functional oocytes, and thus unable to maitain oocyte production (Draper et al., 2007). The conserved functions of *Nanos* also have been reported in nematode worms, mice, colonial ascidians, and planarians (Subramaniam and Seydoux, 1999; Tsuda et al., 2006; Sunanaga et al., 2008; Sato et al., 2006). These observations revealed that *Nanos* gene played a critical role in PGCs formation, germ cells specification and development of the reproductive system.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

In molluscs, the origins of the germline have not been unambiguously identified, and the PGCs are morphologically indistinguishable from the surrounding somatic cells during early developmental stages (Extavour and Akam, 2003). Until the advent of molecular techniques, the putative PGCs or their precursors are identified in several molluscs, such as *Ilyanassa obsolete*, *Saccostrea kegaki*, and *Haliotis asinine* based on *Vasa* and *Nanos* (Swartz et al., 2008; Rabinowitz et al., 2008; Kakoi et al., 2008; Kranz et al., 2010). In these organisms examined, the conserved germ line genes are uniformly expressed in early embryos, and become restricted to particular cells in the 4d lineages. The similar expression patterns of these genes during embryonic development indicated that the location of the germ line genes to 4d lineages might be conserved in mulluscs. These findings are consistent with the previous hypothesis that the PGCs arose from the 4d micromere and developed during the late larval stages from the mesodermal cells in molluscs (Extavour and Akam, 2003). However, the expression patterns of these genes during the gametogenesis in adults are currently unknown. In addition, it remains unclear whether these conserved germ line genes play an essential role in germ cells formation and maintenance when organisms reach sexual maturity.

The Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*), as a representative bivalve species which has been widely cultivated in world oceans, is a popular model to study molluscan biology, development, innate immunity and stress adaptation owing to its economic and ecological importance plus suitable biological characteristics. *Vasa* expression has been described in *C. gigas* and used as a molecular marker to establish the developmental pattern of germline cells during oyster ontogenesis (Fabioux et al., 2004b). The results suggested that putative PGCs were present in *C. gigas* larvae and supported the hypothesis that PGCs developed from mesodermal germinal bands at gastrulation (Fabioux et al., 2004b). However, there is little direct evidence that the cell clumps in early cleavage stages could be PGCs, and the origin of the PGCs has not yet been identified. Therefore, in the present study, we isolated the orthologue of *Nanos* from *C. gigas* and investigated its spatial and temporal expression patterns. We aim to determine the roles of *Nanos* during the gametogenetic cycle and investigate the origins of presumed PGCs during embryonic development in *C. gigas.* This work will also enrich the knowledge of mechanisms of germ cell specification in molluscs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Animals and sampling

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Diploid and triploid oysters were supplied by a local oyster farm. The ploidy of samples was tested by flow cytometry. Diploid and triploid oysters at different developmental stages of the reproductive cycle were dissected and their gonads were collected. One part of the gonad from each oyster was fixed in Bouin's solution for histological analysis. Another part was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) over night at 4°C for *in situ hybridization* (ISH). The remaining gonads were preserved in RNA store solution (Dongsheng Biotech, China) until RNA extraction. The other adult tissues including gill, adductor muscle, labial palps, mantle, digestive gland, and hemolymph were sampled from mature oysters, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C.

Embryonic and larval samples were obtained and cultured as described by Wang et al. (2012). Unfertilized oocytes, 2-cell, 4-cell, morula, blastula, and gastrula embryos, and trochophores, D-shaped larvae, umbo larvae, and eyed-larvae were sampled and treated the same as gonadal tissues procedure.

2.2 Histological analysis

The gonads of diploid and triploid oysters were fixed in Bouin's solution for 24 h, dehydrated through a graduated ethanol dilution series and embedded in paraffin wax. 5-μm-thick sections were cut, and stained with Harry's hematoxylin-Eosin. Slides were examined using an Olympus BX53 microscope equipped with DP73 camera to determine sex and determined the sex and stages of the gonad based on the morphological criteria described by Jouaux et al. (2010), Li et al. (2006) and Enríquez-Díaz et al. (2009).

2.3 RNA extraction and cDNA preparation

Total RNAs were extracted from adult tissues and embryo-larval samples using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocols. RNA concentrations, integrity and quality were verified by NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific) and gel electrophoresis. For gene expression analysis, total RNA (1 μg) extracted from each sample was used as a template for cDNA synthesis by PrimeScriptTM reverse transcription kit (Takara).

2.4 Cloning and sequence analysis of full-length *Nanos* cDNA

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

The full-length *Nanos* cDNA sequence was isolated by RACE PCR method with the SMARTer® RACE 5′/3′ Kit (Clontech) and the gene specific primers (Nanos-3′-1: 5′-AGGACTTCAGACATAACGGACGGGACG-3′ and Nanos-5′-1: 5′-TGTATGGAGGTTCGTGAGGCTGGGTG-3′), which were designed based on the sequence obtained from NCBI database with accession number LOC105348851. PCR cycling was carried out with Tks Gflex™ DNA Polymerase (Takara) at 98°C for 1 min, 98°C for 10 s, 65°C for 20 s, 68°C for 30s, for 35 cycles. The purified PCR products were treated with Taq DNA polymerase (Takara) in the presence of dATP to create complementary stick ends for TA clone (Marchuk et al., 1991). Then products with treated sticky ends were cloned into pEASY-T1 vector (Transgen Biotech, China) and sequenced.

Sequence alignment of the CCHC zinc finger domains was performed using the DNAMAN version 8.0 (Lynnon BioSoft, USA). The percentage identity of the deduced amino acid sequence was calculated with other known *Nanos* proteins using the software Lasergene DNAStar Megalign version 8.1.2 (DNASTAR, USA). Phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA 7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016) based on the neighbor joining (NJ) method with 1000 bootstrap replicates. All the sequence data are available, with their GeneBank accession numbers are as follows: *Nematostella vectensis Nanos*1 (AAW29070), *Nematostella vectensis Nanos*2 (AAW29071), *Haliotis asinine Nanos*-like (ACT35656), *Ilyanassa obsolete Nanos*-like (ABV54788), *Ephydatia fluviatilis Nanos*-related protein (BAB19253), *Homo sapiens Nanos*1 (Q8WY41), *Homo sapiens Nanos*2 (P60321), *Homo sapiens Nanos*3 (P60323), *Hydra vulgaris Nanos*1 (BAB01491), *Hydra vulgaris* N*anos*2 (BAB01492) , *Drosophila melanogaster Nanos*1 (AAA28715), *Helobdella robusta Nanos* (AAB63111), *Danio rerio Nanos* (AAL15474), *Xenopus laevis Xcat*-2 (CAA51067), *Mus musculus Nanos*1 (BAC76003), *Mus musculus Nanos*2 (BAC82557), *Mus musculus Nanos*3 (BAC82558), *Mizuhopecten yessoensis Nanos*-like1 (OWF49530), *Mizuhopecten yessoensis Nanos*-like3 (OWF55054).

2.5 Real-time qPCR analysis

Real-time qPCR was used to measure the *Nanos* gene expression level. Amplification of *Nanos* cDNA was carried out using the specific primers (q*Cg-Nanos*F: 5′-GTGTTGAAGGATGAAGAAGGGC-3′, q*Cg-Nanos*R: 5′-TATGGAGGTTCGTGAGGCTGG-3′). PCR reactions were cycled 40× (5 s/95°C, 20 s/60°C,

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

20 s/72°C) using EvaGreen 2× qPCR MasterMix-ROX (ABM) on a LightCycler® 480 real-time PCR system (Roche). Parallel amplifications of elongation factor 1-α (EF1-α) and ribosomal protein S18 (RS18) reference genes were carried out in the adult and larval samples, respectively (Du et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2017). Melting curves were constructed for each individual amplicon to ensure the accurate amplification. Relative expression levels of the target gene were calculated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$, and all data analyses were performed using two tailed Mann-Whitney *U*-test in SPSS version 20, with the significance level $P < 0.05$.

2.6 Tissue *in situ hybridization*

Sense and antisense probes synthesis were carried out using DIG-RNA labeling Kit (Roche). The target gene fragments isolated by PCR amplification with the specific primers (ISH-*CgNanos*F: 5′-TGGGATTCAGTGACATAGCAT-3′, ISH-*CgNanos*R: 5′-GTCAGAAATCACAGTCCACAGTA-3′). To generate sense and antisense probes, forward and reverse primers were tagged with a T7 promoter, respectively. The fixed gonadal tissues were dehydrated in 20% sucrose in PBS overnight at 4°C, then embedded in OTC (Leica). 10-μm thick frozen sections were prepared for the ISH experiment. ISH was carried out according to the published protocol (Fabioux et al., 2004a) with some modifications. The sections were rehydrated though a graded series of EtOH into PBST (phosphate-buffered saline plus 0.1% Tween 20). Prior to prehybridization, all sections were digested with proteinase K (4 μg/mL) for 30 min at 37°C. Prehybridization was carried out in hybridization buffer (5× SSC, 50% formamide, 100 μg/ml yeast t-RNA, 1.5% blocking reagent, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% Tween-20) for 3 h at 65°C. Then the sections were hybridized with 1 μg/mL sense or antisense probe overnight at 65°C. Unbound probes were washed away, then antibody incubation was carried out with 1/5,000 anti-digoxigenin antibody in blocking regents for 14 h at 4°C. After incubation, the hybridization of DIG-labeled antisense probe on the samples were visualized with the DIG nucleic acid detection kit (Roche). After color development, sections were counterstained with 1% neutral red and examined by an Olympus BX53 microscope.

2.7 Whole-mount *in situ hybridization*

Embryos fixed in 4% PFA were washed twice in methanol, then stored at -20°C until further used. Following fixation, dehydration, the samples were rehydrated to PBST though

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

graded EtOH baths, then treated with an age-dependent concentration of proteinase K (100 ng -10 μg/mL) for 20 min at 37°C, and were treated in the same way as the tissue samples stated above. Image were captured using a microscope.

3. Results

3.1 Sequence analysis *of Nanos*

The full-length sequence was 1257 bp, which contained a 5′-untranslated region (UTR) of 81 bp, a predicted open reading frame (ORF) of 708 bp with an ATG start site and a TGA termination codon, and a 3′-UTR of 468 bp (Fig. 1). A single poly (A) signal (AATAAA), was found 14 bp upstream of the poly (A) tail. *Cg-Nanos*-like encoded a putative protein of 235 deduce amino acid which contained two conserved CCHC zinc finger domains, displaying a high degree of amino acid similarity with other species *Nanos*-related proteins (Fig. 2A). However, there was little homology outside domains.

Alignment of the zinc finger domains of the *Nanos*-related proteins indicated that *C. gigas Nanos* was highly homologous to *Crassostrea hongkongensis Nanos* (100% identity). The deduced amino sequence showed 56.6% identity to *Mizuhopecten yessoensis Nanos*-like1, and 16.1% - 33.1% to other *Nanos*-related proteins. Phylogenetic analysis also showed that *Cg-Nanos*-like clustered with *M. yessoensis Nanos*-like1 (Fig. 2B).

3.2 Spatial expression pattern of *Cg-Nanos*-like

The expression patterns of *Cg-Nanos*-like were analyzed in adult tissues of diploids by RT-qPCR. A significantly high expression level of *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA was detected in female gonads (Fig. 3). Whereas no or very low expression levels were detected in other tissues. The expression levels of *Cg-Nanos*-like detected in ovaries were approximately 80 to 1000-folds higher than those in other tissues.

3.3 Temporal expression pattern of *Cg-Nanos*-like

The differential histologic phenotypes were recognized between diploid and triploid oysters during gametogenesis. The histological analysis of diploid oysters indicated the normal

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

development of ovaries and testes (Fig. 4A), while triploid oysters showed retarded gonads with a limited number of gametes (Fig. 4B). Gonad of the triploid oysters were filled with connective tissues with undifferentiated germ cells at the initial of the reproductive cycle. While, a small number of previtellogenic oocytes and spermatocytes scattered with gonadal tissues in diploid oysters during the same period. Previtellogenic oocytes and vitellogenic oocytes predominated in the ovarian tissues and a large portion of spermatozoa were found in the testes in diploids in the maturation step. By contrast, the triploids were functionally sterile, with a few number of vitellogenic oocytes and spermatozoa generating. In ripe oysters, ovarian samples of diploids were occupied with a great amount of mature oocytes, with the same effect, the histology of testes showed that spermatozoa predominated. Compared to diploids, the triploids presented a diminished reproductive capability, and generated fewer gametes.

Expression levels of *Cg-Nanos*-like during the reproductive cycle in diploid and triploid oysters were shown in Fig. 5. In diploid oysters, *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA couldn't be detected during the early gametogenetic cycle. At the maturation step, *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA specifically expressed in female gonads and increased significantly; the expression level continued to dramatically rise in female gonads at the stage of ripeness. On the contrary, the expression of *Cg-Nanos-like* mRNA was barely detectable in the male gonads (Fig. 5A).

Cg-Nanos-like mRNA expression trend in triploid oysters during the gametogenetic cycle was similar to diploid oysters. *Cg-Nanos*-like expression in triploid ovaries in maturation stage was approximately five folds higher than that of the immature stage. The highest expression level was detected in female gonads at the ripeness stage. Whereas, the *Cg-Nanos*-like gene was expressed weakly in male gonads (Fig. 5B). Although the *Cg-Nanos*-like expression levels exhibited an upward trend in triploid ovaries during the gametogenetic cycle, the expression levels were dramatically lower compared to those of diploids at the late reproductive cycles (Fig. 5C).

The expression levels of *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA during embryonic and larval stages of *C. gigas* showed that *Cg-Nanos*-like highly expressed in unfertilized oocytes, 2-cell, 4-cell, morula and blastula embryos. The level of its expression was decreased dramatically at the gastrula stage. During the veliger stages, *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA was almost undetectable (Fig. 7A).

3.4 Localization of *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA in *C. gigas* gonad during gametogenesis

In order to confirm whether *Cg-Nanos*-like function in adult germline development, cellular location of *Cg-Nanos*-like transcripts was examined by ISH during germ cells

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

maturation (Fig. 6). *Cg-Nanos*-like was specially expressed in gonadal area, and no positive signals were detected in somatic cells. In ovaries, *Cg-Nanos*-like transcripts were expressed in all kinds of germinal cells, including oogonia, previtellogenic oocytes and vitellogenic oocytes. In contrast, the positive signals were detected exclusively in spermatogonia, but not in spermatocytes and spermatozoa. No positive signal was detected using sense probes (data not shown).

3.5 Localization of *Cg-Nanos*-like in *C. gigas* during the embryo-larval developmental stages

The location of *Cg-Nanos*-like transcripts was characterized in ten embryo-larval developmental stages (Fig. 7B). *Cg-Nanos*-like was first detected at the vegetal hemisphere of oocytes. After the first cleavage, *Cg-Nanos*-like expression was found in both cells of the 2-cell embryos. As cleavage proceeded to the 4-cell stages, the expression was detected in each cell. Following the morula stages, *Cg-Nanos*-like expression appeared to be more abundant and distributed uniformly in the micromeres. Interestingly, the transcripts abundance of *Cg-Nanos*-like slightly decreased at the blastula stage compared with the morula stage. Despite positive *Cg-Nanos*-like signals were localized uniformly at early cleavage stages; the ubiquitous expression was restricted to a pair of bilaterally symmetrical cells at gastrulation. In the subsequently cleavage stages from the D-shaped larvae to umbo-larvae, the *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA was continuously detectable in two cell clusters. Finally, the transcripts were limited in single cluster at eyed-larval stage. No positive signal was detected using sense probes (data not shown).

4. Discussion

It has been reported that, in adult ovaries, *Nanos* and *Nanos*-related genes played a critical role in germ cells maintenance, and thus oocyte production (Mosquera et al., 1993; Kloc et al., 2000; Gilboa and Lehmann, 2004; Wang and Lin, 2004; Draper et al., 2007). Tissue expression analysis revealed that *Cg-Nanos*-like was predominantly expressed in the female gonads (ripeness stage), which agreed with the role in maintenance of oocyte production previously reported in other species (Mosquera et al., 1993; Kloc et al., 2000; Draper et al., 2007).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

In the gonad of diploid oysters, *Cg-Nanos*-like expression level could be barely detected during the early reproductive cycle. Whereas the expression level increased linearly and abruptly as maturation proceeded to achieve the highest level in fully mature ovaries. In contrast, the expression of *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA was almost undetectable in male gonads during the reproductive cycle. The dynamical expression patterns in adult developing ovaries indicated that *Cg-Nanos*-like could function in subsequent oogenic processes. Sexual dimorphic expression and female-specifically up-regulated of *Cg-Nanos*-like reflected the maternal mRNA storage process during vitellogenesis and oocyte maturation in the late reproductive cycle (Li et al., 2000).

As we all know, triploid oysters are mostly sterile and exhibited abnormal gametogenesis, although they are morphologically almost identical to diploids. Moreover, the triploid females was around 2% to 13% of levels observed in diploid controls in terms of relative fecundity (Suquet et al., 2016; Jeung et al., 2016). Considering the occurrence of sterility in triploid oysters is associated with gene expression changes, it is critical to determine how does the gene related to gonadal development changes between triploid animals and diploid counterparts in order to better understand its function. The role for *Nanos* in female gonads of *C. gigas* was further demonstrated by the expression profile in the ovaries of sterile triploids. *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA expression trend in triploid oysters during the reproductive cycle was similar to diploid oysters. Although the expression level of *Cg-Nanos*-like continued to increase along with ovarian development in triploids, the expression levels were dramatically lower compared to their diploid counterparts. This down-regulated expression phenomenon revealed a potential role of *Cg-Nanos*-like in the formation of germ cells and oocytegenesis. Similarly, knock-down experiment of *D. rerio nos*-1 showed that young female *Nanos* mutants contained oocytes, but failed to maintain oocyte production, suggestting that *Nanos* could function in adult germline maintaince (Draper et al., 2007). In the absence of *Nanos*3 in *M. musculus*, the size of the ovaries and testes were greatly reduced, and germ cells were not detectable in the ovary and testes (Tsuda et al., 2003). The role of *Nanos* in adult in germline development, could also be supported by ISH analysis, which showed that *Cg-Nanos*-like was expressed at different stages of developing oocytes, especially in mature oocytes. In contrast to adult females, positive signals were only detected in spermatogonia but not in spermatocytes and spermatozoa. Such a male expression was also observed in medaka in which *Nanos*2 only expressed in spermatogonia in testes (Aoki et al., 2009). These observations revealed that the *Nanos* gene might control the differentiation of cells with stem cell-like properties. Taken together, *Cg-*

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Nanos-like play critical roles not only in germ cells formation and regeneration, but also in the continued production of oocytes in adult oysters as demonstrated in *Drosophila* and *D. rerio* (Wang and Lin, 2004; Draper et al., 2007). Moreover, according to the expression profile in diploids, *Cg-Nanos*-like used as a molecular marker to elucidate the origination of germ cells.

To better understood the role of *Cg-Nanos*-like in the early embryonic differentiation, its expression was examined during ontogenesis in *C. gigas*. High expression level of *Cg-Nanos*-like were detected in unfertilized oocytes, and dropped significantly at the gastrula stages, then continued to decrease to an undetectable level by the stage of eyed-larvae. These results revealed that *Cg-Nanos*-like transcripts were maternally inherited. Such a conserved role of *Nanos* in transmitting the maternal mRNA to the early oyster embryo has also been reported in many species including fruit flies (Lehmann and Nusslein-Volhard, 1991), nematode worms (Subramaniam and Seydoux, 1999), zebrafish (Köprunner et al., 2001), frogs (Mosquera et al., 1993), and silkmoths (Nakao et al., 2008). The similar expression patterns of *Nanos* mRNA also have been reported in non-model organisms, such as *Branchiostoma floridae* (Wu et al., 2011), *Cynoglossus semilaevis* (Huang et al., 2017), and *H. asinine* (Kranz et al., 2010). Based on the analysis of ISH, *Cg-Nanos*-like mRNA was distributed uniformly at early cleavage. We postulated that *Cg-Nanos*-like might play a functional role in somatic embryogenesis process. An additional somatic role for *Nanos* has been demonstrated in other animals. For example, *Nanos* knockdown embryos exhibited defects in the behavior of the ectodermal and mesodermal germinal bands (Rabinowitz et al., 2008). However, from gastrula to umbo-larvae, the uniform distribution of the mRNA was eliminated, and specifically expressed in two bilaterally symmetrical cell clusters. Base on previous studies, the two cell clumps corresponding to the Mr and Ml cells, might be descendants of 4d, which could be putative PGCs or precursor cells for PGCs (Fabioux et al., 2004b). The specific localization of two cell clusters in *C. gigas* was also observed in *Vasa* (*Oyvlg*) gene (Fabioux et al., 2004b), another specific germline molecular marker (Wylie, 1999), which was also considered to be a useful maker of germline cell in *C. gigas* and played an important role in germ cell formation (Fabioux et al., 2009). Additionally, PGC formation at the time of gastrulation has also been detected in fruit flies, mice, and frogs (Wylie, 1999). The observation of *Cg-Nanos*-like in our study supported the hypothesis that molluscs developed their germline from the subpopulation of mesodermal cells (Extavour and Akam, 2003). It is noteworthy that the localization of the germ line genes to 4d lingers seemed to be conserved among molluscs (Swartz et al., 2008; Rabinowitz et al., 2008; Kranz et al., 2010; Kakoi et al., 2008).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

The previous work proposed that *C. gigas* PGCs were specified by maternally inherited determinants (preformation) for the asymmetrical distribution of maternal *Vasa* mRNA (Fabioux et al., 2004b). In contrast, our observations were clearly different from this conclusion. *Cg-Nanos*-like was ubiquitously expressed in early embryos and specified at the late embryogenesis, suggesting that *C. gigas* PGCs were not specified exclusively by inheritance of maternal determinants (preformation). Our findings were consistent with the model of transition from epigenesis to preformation. The inductive signals (epigenesis) might involve in specifying PGCs (Extavour, 2007). Similar findings were also detected in other molluscs (Swartz et al., 2008; Rabinowitz et al., 2008; Kranz et al., 2010; Kakoi et al., 2008; Rebscher, 2015). Therefore, we hypothesized that the germline specification for *C. gigas*, entailed a combination of inherited maternal determinants (preformation), which developed mesodermal bands at gastrulation, and followed by inductive signals to determine which cells of the mesodermal bands became the PGCs or their precursors.

In conclusion, our results suggested that *Cg-Nanos*-like was expressed and required not only in PGCs but also during gametogenesis in *C. gigas*. *Cg-Nanos*-like was involved in oocyte maturation and the formation of germ cells. In particular, *Cg-Nanos*-like could be a novel molecular marker to elucidate the origin of the PGCs in *C. gigas*.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (31672649), Shandong Province (2016ZDJS06A06), Taishan Scholars Seed Project of Shandong, and major project for Tianjin seed technology (15ZXZYNC00050).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

References

Agee, S. J., Lyons, D. C., Weisblat, D. A. 2006. Maternal expression of a NANOS homolog is required for early development of the leech *Helobdella robusta*. Developmental Biology. **298**: 1-11.

Asaoka, M., Sano, H., Obara, Y., Kobayashi, S. 1998. Maternal Nanos regulates zygotic gene expression in germline progenitors of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mechanisms of Development. **78**: 153-158.

Aoki, Y., Nakamura, S., Ishikawa, Y., Tanaka, M. 2009. Expression and syntenic analyses of four nanos genes in Medaka. Zoological Science. **26**: 112-118.

Bhat, K. M. 1999. The Posterior Determinant Gene *nanos* Is Required for the Maintenance of the Adult Germline Stem Cells During Drosophila Oogenesis. Genetics. **151**: 1479-1492.

Cox, D. N., Chao, A., Baker, J., Chang, L., Qiao, D., Lin, H. F. 1998. A novel class of evolutionarily conserved genes defined by *piwi* are essential for stem cell self-renewal. Genes & Development. **12**: 3715-3727.

Deshpande, G., Calhoun, G., Yanowitz, J. L., Schedl, P. D. 1999. Novel functions of *nanos* in downregulating mitosis and transcription during the development of the *Drosophila* germline. Cell. **99**: 271-281.

Draper, B. W., McCallum, C. M., Moens, C. B. 2007. *Nanos 1* is required to maintain oocyte production in adult zebrafish. Developmental Biology. **305**: 589-598.

Du, Y. S., Zhang, L. L., Xu, F., Huang, B. Y., Zhang, G. F., Li, L. 2013. Validation of housekeeping genes as internal controls for studying gene expression during Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) development by quantitative real-time PCR. Fish & Shellfish Immunology. **34**: 939-945.

Enríquez-Díaz, M., Pouvreau, S., Chávez-Villalba, J., Le Pennec, M. 2009. Gametogenesis, reproductive investment, and spawning behavior of the Pacific giant oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: evidence of an environment-dependent strategy. Aquaculture International. **17**: 491.

Extavour, C. G., Akam, M. 2003. Mechanisms of germ cell specification across the metazoans: epigenesis and preformation. Development. **130**: 5869-5884.

Extavour, C. G. M. 2007. Evolution of the bilaterian germ line: lineage origin and modulation of specification mechanisms. Integrative and Comparative Biology. **47**: 770-785.

Fabioux, C., Pouvreau, S., Le Roux, F., Huvet, A. 2004a. The oyster *Vasa*-like gene: a specific marker of the germline in *Crassostrea gigas*. Biochemical and Biophysical Research

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Communications. **315**: 897-904.

Fabioux, C., Huvet, A., Lelong, C., Robert, R., Pouvreau, S., Daniel, J. Y., Minguant, C., Le Pennec, M. 2004b. Oyster *Vasa*-like gene as a marker of the germline cell development in *Crassostrea gigas*. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. **320**: 592-598.

Fabioux, C., Corporeau, C., Quillien, V., Favrel, P., Huvet, A. 2009. *In vivo* RNA interference in oyster-*vasa* silencing inhibits germ cell development. The FEBS Journal. **276**: 2566-2573.

Forbes, A., Lehmann, R. 1998. Nanos and Pumilio have critical roles in the development and function of Drosophila germline stem cells. Development. **125**: 679-690.

Fresques T., Swartz S. Z., Juliano C., Morino, Y., Kikuchi, M., Akasaka, K., Wada, H., Yajima, M., Wessel, G. M. 2016. The diversity of nanos expression in echinoderm embryos supports different mechanisms in germ cell specification. Evolution & Development. **18**: 267-278.

Gavis, E. R., Chatterjee, S., Ford, N. R., Wolff, L. J. 2008. Dispensability of *nanos* mRNA localization for abdominal patterning but not for germ cell development. Mechanisms of Development. **125**: 81-90.

Gilboa, L., Lehmann, R. 2004. Repression of Primordial Germ Cell Differentiation Parallels Germ Line Stem Cell Maintenance. Current Biology. **14**: 981-986.

Hay, B., Jan, L. Y., Jan, Y. N. 1988. A protein component of Drosophila polar granules is encoded by vasa and has extensive sequence similarity to ATP-dependent helicases. Cell. **55**: 577-587.

Huang, J. Q., Li, Y. J., Shao, C. W., Wang, N., Chen, S. L. 2017. Identification, characterization and functional analysis of regulatory region of *nanos* gene from half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). Gene. **617**: 8-16.

Jeung H. D., Keshavmurthy S., Lim H. J., Kim, S. K., Choi, K. S. 2016. Quantification of reproductive effort of the triploid Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas* raised in intertidal rack and bag oyster culture system off the west coast of Korea during spawning season. Aquaculture. 2016. **464**: 374-380.

Jiang, Q., Li, Q., Yu, H., Kong, L. 2017. Expression and DNA methylation pattern of reproduction-related genes in partially fertile triploid *Pacific oysters* Crassostrea gigas. Genes & Genomics. **39**: 1-10.

Jouaux, A., Heude-Berthelin, C., Sourdaine, P., Mathieu, M., Kellner, K. 2010. Gametogenic

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

stages in triploid oysters *Crassostrea gigas*: Irregular locking of gonial proliferation and subsequent reproductive effort. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology **395**: 162-170.

Julaton V. T. A., Pera, R. A. R. 2011. *NANOS 3* function in human germ cell development. Human Molecular Genetics. **20**: 2238-2250.

Juliano C. E., Yajima M., Wessel G. M. 2010. *Nanos* functions to maintain the fate of the small micromere lineage in the sea urchin embryo. Developmental Biology. **337**: 220-232.

Kakoi, S., Kin, K., Miyazaki, K., Wada, H. 2008. Early development of the Japanese spiny oyster (*Saccostrea kegaki*): characterization of some genetic markers. Zoological Science. **25**: 455-464.

Kloc, M., Bilinski, S., Chan, A. P. A., Etkin, L. D. 2000. The targeting of Xcat2 mRNA to the germinal granules depends on a cis-acting germinal granule localization element within the 3'UTR. Developmental Biology. **217**: 221-229.

Kobayashi, S., Yamada, M., Asaoka, M., Kitamura, T. 1996. Essential role of the posterior morphogen Nanos for germlinedevelopment in Drosophila. Nature. **380**: 708–711.

Köprunner M, Thisse C, Thisse B, Raz, E. 2001. A zebrafish *nanos*-related gene is essential for the development of primordial germ cells. Genes & Development. **15**: 2877-2885.

Kranz, A. M., Tollenaere, A., Norris, B. J., Degnani, B. M. Degnani, S. M., 2010. Identifying the germline in an equally cleaving mollusc: *Vasa* and *Nanos* expression during embryonic and larval development of the vetigastropod *Haliotis asinina*. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution. **314**: 267-279.

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Tamura, K. 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution. **33**: 1870-1874.

Lai, F., Zhou, Y., Luo, X., Fox, J., King, M. L. 2011. Nanos1 functions as a translational repressor in the *Xenopus* germline. Mechanisms of Development. **128**: 153-163.

Lehmann, R., Nusslein-Volhard, C. 1991. The maternal gene Nanos has a central role in posterior pattern formation of the Drosophila embryo. Development. **112**: 679-691.

Leininger, S., Adamski, M., Bergum, B., Guder, C., Liu, J., Laplante, M., Brate, J., Hoffmann, F., Fortunato, S., Jordal, S. et al. 2014. Developmental gene expression provides clues to relationships between sponge and eumetazoan body plans. Nature Communications. **5**: 3905.

Li, Q., Liu, W., Shirasu, K., Chen, W., Jiang, S. 2006. Reproductive cycle and biochemical composition of the Zhe oyster *Crassostrea plicatula* Gmelin in an eastern coastal bay of

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

China. Aquaculture. **261**: 752-759.

Li, Q., Osada, M., Mori, K. 2000. Seasonal biochemical variations in Pacific oyster gonadal tissue during sexual maturation. Fisheries Science. **66**: 502-508.

Marchuk, D., Drumm, M., Saulino, A., Collins, F. S. 1991. Construction of T-vectors, a rapid and general system for direct cloning of unmodified PCR products. Nucleic Acids Research. **19**: 1154.

Mosquera, L., Forristall, C., Zhou, Y., King, M. L. 1993. A mRNA localized to the vegetal cortex of Xenopus oocytes encodes a protein with a nanos-like zinc finger domain. Development. **117**: 377-386.

Nakao, H., Matsumoto, T., Oba, Y., Niimi, T., Yaginuma, T. 2008. Germ cell specification and early embryonic patterning in *Bombyx mori* as revealed by *nanos* orthologues. Evolution Development. **10**: 546-554.

Pilon M., Weisblat D. A. A. 1997. A nanos homolog in leech. Developmental Biology. **124**: 1771-1780.

Rabinowitz, J. S., Chan, X. Y., Kingsley, E. P., Duan, Y., Lambert, J. D. 2008. Nanos is required in somatic blast cell lineages in the posterior of a mollusk embryo. Current Biology. **18**: 331-336.

Rebscher, N. 2015. Establishing the germline in spiralian embyos. International Journal of Developmental Biology. **58**: 403-411.

Sato, K., Shibata, N., Orii, H, Amikura, R., Sakurai, T., Agata, K., Kobayashi, S Watanabe, K. 2006. Identification and origin of the germline stem cells as revealed by the expression of *nanos*-related gene in planarians. Development Growth & Differentiation. **48**: 615-628.

Subramaniam, K and Seydoux, G. 1999. nos-1 and nos-2, two genes related to Drosophila Nanos, regulate primordial germ cell development and survival in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Development. **126**: 4861-4871.

Sunanaga, T., Satoh, M., Kawamura, K. 2008. The role of *Nanos* homologue in gametogenesis and blastogenesis with special reference to male germ cell formation in the colonial ascidian, *Botryllus primigenus*. Developmental Biology. **324**: 31-40.

Suquet M., Malo F., Quere C., Leduc C., Le Grand J., Benabdelmouna A. 2016. Gamete quality in triploid Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). Aquaculture. **451**: 11-15.

Swartz, S. Z., Chan, X. Y., Lambert, J. D. 2008. Localization of *Vasa* mRNA during early cleavage of the snail *Ilyanassa*. Development Genes and Evolution. **218**: 107-113.

Tsuda, M., Sasaoka, Y., Kiso, M., Abe, K., Haraguchi, S., Kobayashi, S., Saga, Y. 2003.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Conserved role of nanos proteins in germ cell development. Science. **301**: 1239-1241.

Tsuda, M., Kiso, M., Saga, Y. 2006. Implication of *nanos2*-3′ UTR in the expression and function of nanos2. Mechanisms of Development. **123**: 440-449.

Wang, Q. Z., Li, Q., Kong, L. F., Yu, R. H. 2012. Response to selection for fast growth in the second generation of Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). Journal of Ocean University of China. **11**: 413-418.

Wang, Z., Lin, H. F. 2004. *Nanos* maintains germline stem cell self-renewal by preventing differentiation. Science. **303**: 2016-2019.

Wu, H. R., Chen, Y. T., Su, Y. H., Luo, Y. J., Holland, L. Z., Yu, J. K. 2011. Asymmetric localization of germline markers *Vasa* and *Nanos* during early development in the amphioxus *Branchiostoma floridae*. Developmental Biology. **353**: 147-159.

Wylie, C., 1999. Germ cells. Cell. **96**: 165-174.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Nucleotide sequence of the *Cg-Nanos*-like cDNA and its predicted deduced amino acid. The start (ATG) and stop (TGA) codons are underlined. Zinc finger domains are highlighted in yellow. The polyadenylation signal (AATAAA) is marked in red.

Fig. 2. Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis. A: Sequence alignment of the CCHC zinc finger protein domains of *Nanos*-related proteins from vertebrates and invertebrates. Conserved C and H residues are marked by arrow, which can form two CCHC zinc-binding finger motif. Cg, *Crassostrea gigas*; Ch, *Crassostrea hongkongensis*; My, *Mizuhopecten yessoensis*; Nv, *Nematostella vectensis*; Ha, *Haliotis asinine*; Io, *Ilyanassa obsolete*; Ef, *Ephydatia fluviatilis*; Hs, *Homo sapiens*; Hv, *Hydra vulgaris*; Dm, *Drosophila melanogaster*; Hr, *Helobdella robusta*; Dr, *Danio rerio*; Xl, *Xenopus laevis*; Mm, *Mus musculus.* B: Phylogenetic analysis of *Nanos* based on multiple amino acid sequence alignment.

Fig. 3. Spatial expression of the *Cg-Nanos*-like. Expression pattern of *Cg-Nanos*-like in adult tissues in dioploids.

Fig. 4. Histological analysis of gonad in diploid and oysters during the reproductive cycle. A: Male and female stages of gametogenesis from 0 to 3 in diploid oysters. Stage 0: initiation of the reproductive cycle (a); stage 1: gametes proliferations in male (b) and female (e); stage 2: gametes maturation in males (c) and females (f); stage 3: ripeness stage in males (d) and female (g). B: Male and female stages of gametogenesis from 1 to 3 in triploid oysters. stage 1: gametes proliferations (a); stage 2: gametes maturation in males (b) and females (d); stage 3: ripeness stage in males (c) and females (e). UGC: undifferentiated germ cells; CT: conjunctive tissues; Spg: spermatogonia; Spc: spermatocytes; Spz: spermatozoa; Pvo: previtellogenic oocytes; Vo: vitellogenic oocytes; Og: Oogonia..

Fig. 5. Temporal expression of the *Cg-Nanos*-like. A and B: Expression levels of *Cg-Nanos*-like during reproductive cycle in diploid and triploid oysters, respectively. C: Comparing expression levels between diploid and triploid females at the same stage.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Fig. 6. ***Cg-Nanos*-like expression in adult *C. gigas* gonad by ISH during gametogenesis.** (A) and (C): Gametes proliferations in females and males; (B) and (D): Ripeness stage in males and females. CT: Conjunctive tissues; Spg: Spermatogonia; Spc: Spermatocytes; Spz: Spermatozoa; Pro: Previtellogenic oocytes; Vo: Vitellogenic oocytes; Og: Oogonia.

Fig. 7. Expression of *Cg-Nanos*-like during embryonic and larval stage. A: Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) results for *Cg-Nanos*-like. B: Location of *Cg-Nanos*-like during embryo-larval developmental stages by WISH.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Fig. 1

```
1       CTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGCAAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAGTACATGGGGAGTGAGA

61      CAGGGACACTCGAAATGCAAGATGGCTCAGTACAGAACACAACTGTGTCCCCAGGACTCC
1                            M  A  Q  Y  R  T  Q  L  C  P  Q  D  S

121     TACGAACCATTCCAAGATTATCTGGGATTCAGTGACATAGCATGGAACAAGGATCTGGTC
14       Y  E  P  F  Q  D  Y  L  G  F  S  D  I  A  W  N  K  D  L  V

181     TCTAATCTCACACACCTCATGACTTGTTCGCCATCGTTCCATGACGACAACAGCAGTGAT
34       S  N  L  T  H  L  M  T  C  S  P  S  F  H  D  D  N  S  S  D

241     GGCGAATTTTTTGGTTCCAACTTTTCGAGTAGCCCGTGTGTCGGTCCGTGCAGTGACGGG
54       G  E  F  F  G  S  N  F  S  S  S  P  C  V  G  P  C  S  D  G

301     GTTTTTGCACCCTATGACCCCCTTGACGTTATTCGGAGGGACTCTCTGGAGGACTTCAGA
74       V  F  A  P  Y  D  P  L  D  V  I  R  R  D  S  L  E  D  F  R

361     CATAACGGACGGGACGTCGAACTGGACGAACTCGATAGCTACCAGGTAGAACTTCGGAGA
94       H  N  G  R  D  V  E  L  D  E  L  D  S  Y  Q  V  E  L  R  R

421     CACTCGTCCATTTCCGTTCAGTCAGAATCCAACCCGGTGGTTCTCGAAGTGTTGGCATTC
114      H  S  S  I  S  V  Q  S  E  S  N  P  V  V  L  E  V  L  A  F

481     AAAGAACGGAAACGAGCTGCCAAAAAAGCCAAGCAAAAGAAATTGTGCGTTTTCTGTAAA
134      K  E  R  K  R  A  A  K  K  A  K  Q  K  K  L  C  V  F  C  K

541     AACAACGGAGAAACTGTTGCCATCTACTCAAGCCATGTGTTGAAGGATGAAGAAGGGCGG
154      N  N  G  E  T  V  A  I  Y  S  S  H  V  L  K  D  E  E  G  R

601     GTCTGCTGCCCTATCCTCCGTAAGTACACGTGCCCTCTCTGTGGGGTCTCTGGGGACAAC
174      V  C  C  P  I  L  R  K  Y  T  C  P  L  C  G  V  S  G  D  N

661     GCCCACACGATCCGCTATTGTCCGAAAAATGATGGTGAACTTTCCGCACCCAGCCTCACG
194      A  H  T  I  R  Y  C  P  K  N  D  G  E  L  S  A  P  S  L  T

721     AACCTCCATACAAGACGCTTGACAAATGGAAAACGGCGCCGAGGGAGCGACAGTGAAAGC
214      N  L  H  T  R  R  L  T  N  G  K  R  R  R  G  S  D  S  E  S

781     AGCAACTGAAAAAAAAAACCCACCAAAAGCAGCCGCGCAACATCGCAATCTCGCAACTATA
234      S  N  *

841     TCTCGATATTAAGTCGTATACTGTGGACTGTGATTTCTGACTCTCTGACCAAATTTTTAT
901     AGAGATCCTTGATGACCATACAATGTTTTAATTAGTTGTGACTTTTATTCAACAAAAGTT
961     GTATCTTAGATTTTGATAAGATTTTTTATGTTAATTTTGATTTTAATCTTTACGTATCCC
1021    AGTTATTGAGATGGTGCTGAAAAAAAAAATTGTACAGTAAATGAAGTTATGATTTTAGATG
1081    AATATATTTATAATAATCAATTTTTTAATTAATGATTTACTTAATTGTAGTCATTCGCTG
1141    ATTTTGTACAGATTAGTTTTAGAATTTATTAACATTTGTTTAGTGCTTTAACCCCACAGA
1201    TGTTTCCATGTAAATAAATTGTTATCACAGCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
```

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Fig. 2

A



B

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT



ACCEPTED MA

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Fig. 3



ACCEPTED

Fig. 4

A:



B



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Fig. 5



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Fig. 6



ACCEPTED

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Fig. 7



B



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Highlights

1. A full-length cDNA (1257 bp) of *Nanos* from *Crassostrea gigas* was identified and characterized.
2. *Cg-Nanos*-like may play an important role in oocyte maturation in adult oysters.
3. *C. gigas* may developed their germline from the subpopulation of mesodermal cells.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT